

Assessment of Arm Lymphedema and Late Skin Side Effects in Breast Cancer Patients Who Received Postoperative Adjuvant Radiotherapy

Postoperatif Adjuvan Radyoterapi ile Tedavi Edilen Meme Kanseri Olgularında Geç Cilt Yan Etkiler ve Kol Lenf Ödemini Değerlendirilmesi

Halil SAĞINÇ,^a
Zeynep ÖZSARAN,^b
P. Bahar BALTALARLI,^c
Özgür YILDIRIM^d

^aClinic of Radiation Oncology,
Denizli State Hospital,
Denizli

^bDepartment of Radiation Oncology,
Ege University Faculty of Medicine,
İzmir

^cDepartment of Radiation Oncology,
Pamukkale University Faculty of Medicine,
Denizli

^dClinic of Radiation Oncology,
Manisa State Hospital,
Manisa

Received: 16.10.2017

Received in revised form: 02.04.2018

Accepted: 02.04.2018

Available online: 04.06.2018

Correspondence:

Halil SAĞINÇ
Denizli State Hospital,
Clinic of Radiation Oncology, Denizli,
TURKEY/TÜRKİYE
halilsaginc@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate factors leading to arm lymphedema and late side effects involving the skin in breast cancer patients who received postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy (RT). **Material and Methods:** Arm lymphedema and late skin side effects were investigated using the LENT/SOMA scales in 87 women with breast cancer treated by breast conservative surgery and RT. **Results:** Median age of patients were 52 years (range: 27-84). Factors that increased the risk of arm lymphedema included age >60 years, large RT volume, axillary dose ≥ 50 Gy and supraclavicular dose ≥ 50 Gy. Axillary dissection, dissected lymph nodes number >10 and lack of hormonal therapy increased fibrosis risk. Use of Co-60 machine for RT increased retraction atrophy risk. Axillary dissection, dissected lymph nodes number >10, and Co-60 use increased telangiectasia risk. **Conclusion:** In our study, to be over 60 years of age, large RT volume and high dose axillary RT were significant risk factors for arm lymphedema. Sentinel lymph node biopsy, small number of axillary lymph nodes dissected, RT by linear accelerator reduced late skin reactions. Menopausal status, obesity, diabetes mellitus, stage of tumor and chemotherapy administration did not impact arm lymphedema and late skin side effects.

Keywords: Breast cancer; breast conservative surgery; radiotherapy; arm lymphedema; late radiation toxicity

ÖZET Amaç: Postoperatif adjuvan radyoterapi (RT) alan meme kanserli olgularda cilt geç yan etkileri ve kol ödemi değerlendirilmek. **Gereç ve Yöntemler:** Kol ödemi ve geç cilt yan etkiler meme koruyucu cerrahi ve radyoterapi ile tedavi edilen meme kanserli 87 kadın olgu LENT/SOMA yan etki ölçeği kullanılarak değerlendirildi. **Bulgular:** Hastaların ortanca yaşı 52 (aralık: 27-84) idi. Kol ödemi arttıran faktörler 60 üstü yaş, geniş radyoterapi volümü, aksillanın ve supraclavikular fossanın aldığı 50 Gy ve üstü dozdu. Aksiller diseksiyon, diseke 10' dan fazla lenf nodu sayısı ve hormonal tedavi yokluğu meme fibrozis riskini arttırdı. Co-60 cihazı ile tedavi meme retraksiyon atrofi riskini arttırdı. Aksiller diseksiyon, diseke 10' dan fazla lenf nodu sayısı ve Co-60 cihazı ile tedavi meme telenjektazi riskini arttırdı. **Sonuç:** Çalışmamızda, 60 yaşın üzerinde olmak, geniş RT volümü ve yüksek aksiller RT doz kol ödemi için anlamlı risk faktörleridir. Sentinel lenf nodu biyopsisi, diseke edilen aksiller lenf nodu sayısının azlığı, lineer akselerator cihazı ile RT cilt geç yan etkileri azaltılır. Menopoz durumu, obezite, diabetes mellitus, tümör evresi ve kemoterapi yönetimi kol ödemi ve geç cilt yan etkileri etkilemedi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Meme kanseri; meme koruyucu cerrahi; radyoterapi; kol lenf ödemi; geç radyasyon toksisitesi

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer among women and it is the second-leading cause of cancer-associated mortality.¹ Recently, there has been a reduction in causes of death secondary to breast cancer. Factors leading to this improvement include successful application of screening methods, increase in long-term survival due to advances in systemic treatment and developments in radiotherapy (RT) techniques enabling reduction in long term cardiac mortality. RT is important in the treatment of breast cancer as a primary and adjuvant treatment. It also impacts survival favorably as well as providing local and regional control.²⁻⁵ Breast-conserving surgery (BCS) followed by RT is currently considered standard of care for early-stage breast cancer.⁶ Several prospective randomized trials have found that breast conserving therapy (BCT), comprising segmentectomy followed by RT, is an oncologically safe treatment and is therefore commonly used in breast cancer treatment.⁷ Although BCT has tremendously improved patient quality of life, some patients suffer from chronic late side effects, such as unfavourable cosmetic outcomes, atrophy of the breast, skin damage, breast oedema, lymphedema of the arm or pain.^{8,9}

In breast cancer, late side effects are mainly fibrosis and atrophy due to the replacement of adipose tissue by collagen, resulting in a negative impact on the appearance of the breast.¹⁰

Improvements in RT techniques such as conformal radiation therapy (3D-CRT) and intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) allow for more homogenous dose distribution in the breast, resulting in better cosmetic results.¹¹ Moreover, the extent of surgery and tumor- and treatment-related factors can negatively influence cosmetic outcomes, such as an increased resected breast volume, inferior tumour location and the pathological tumour size.^{12,13}

In our study, we aimed to determine factors that cause late side effects in breast cancer patients treated by BCS and adjuvant RT.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

PATIENTS

87 women diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma (stage I-III) or ductal carcinoma in situ

TABLE 1: Characteristics of the patients.

n=87		Number of cases	Percentage
Age (years)	Median 52 range: 27-84		
Diabetes Mellitus	Yes	4	4.6
	No	83	95.4
Menopause	Pre	26	29.8
	Post	61	70.2
Obesity	No	14	16.1
	Yes	73	83.9
Tumor Stage	DCIS	12	13.8
	1	31	35.6
	2	35	40.2
	3	9	10.3
Axillary Surgery	No	13	14.9
	Sentinel	27	31.1
	Axillary	47	54
Radiotherapy Fields	Breast	54	62.1
	BSA	33	37.9
Radiotherapy Machine	Co-60	10	11.5
	Linac	77	88.5
Chemotherapy	Yes	43	49.9
	No	44	50.6
Hormonal Therapy	No	11	12.6
	Yes	76	87.4
Follow up	Median 24 (range 6-144) months		

Abbreviations: RT: Radiotherapy; BCS: Breast Conservative Surgery; BSA: Breast Supraclavicular Axillary Field; Co-60: Cobalt-60 teletherapy unit; Linac: Linear accelerator; DCIS: Ductal carcinoma in situ.

(DCIS) who underwent a segmentectomy. All patients were irradiated at the Department of Radiation Oncology of Ege University Medical School between October 1994 and December 2010. The median age of **the cohort was 52** (range: 27-84). The distribution of the patients characteristics is shown in (Table 1).

SURGERY

The study patients received segmentectomy according to the surgeon's decision considering a good tumor-to-breast ratio.

CHEMOTHERAPY AND HORMONOTHERAPY

In total, 43 women were treated with chemotherapy. Trastuzumab was only given to those who received chemotherapy and had tumors overexpressing human epidermal growth factor receptor 2. Hormonal therapy was indicated for all hor-

monal receptor positive tumor patients (n=76). Tamoxifen with or without luteinizing hormone releasing hormone analogues or only aromatase inhibitors were prescribed depending on menopausal status of the patient. Chemotherapy was applied before RT and hormonal therapy was initiated after RT.

RADIATION TECHNIQUE

All patients received 3D-CRT. The dose prescription volumes were identified following the recommendations of International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements report No. 62.¹⁴ Treatment planning of the patients was performed with a CT-simulation slice thickness of 3 mm. The surgery scar was marked with radiopaque contrast medium. Dose calculations and optimizations were performed using Elekta Precise Planning System. Radiation was delivered by a linear accelerator (linac) or a Cobalt-60 unit. Breast irradiation plan consisted of equally weighted opposed symmetrical tangential two photon beams, dose distribution being corrected by wedge filters. Every patient received daily single dose of 2.0 Gray (Gy) to a total dose of 50.0 Gy to whole breast with conventional fractionation. A boost dose to tumour bed up to a total dose of 60.0 Gy (n= 66) or 66.0 Gy (n=21) was given. Of thirtythree patients who received additional breast supraclavicular axillary (BSA) field irradiation, thirtythree were irradiated only by a restricted BSA field at a dose of 50 Gy in 25 fractions, while seven were irradiated by extended anterior supraclavicular axillary field, with a complementary posterior axillary field if necessary: Twentysix of them received 50.0 Gy, one recieved 54 Gy and six patients recieved a total dose of 56.0 Gy to level I-III axillary nodes.

FOLLOW-UP AND ASSESSMENT

All patients who were monitored for ≥ 6 months following postoperative RT underwent physical examination in order to determine late side effects using the LENT/SOMA (Late Effects Normal Tissue Task Force- Subjective, Objective, Management and Analytic) assessment system. Follow up time was median 24 range (6-144) months.

The evaluation for statistical significance was performed by taking into consideration the following variables: axillary surgery, number of lymph nodes dissected from the axillary, menopausal status, stage, diabetes mellitus, age, obesity, type of treatment machine, hormonal therapy, RT dose, and field of irradiation. Measurements for arm lymfedema were performed in both forearms, upper arms, and above the wrists. Breast oedema, lymphedema of the arm, fibrosis, retraction athropy and telangiectasia of the skin as late side effects were assessed using the LENT-SOMA scale. Physical examination of the patients was performed by one staff member independent radiation oncologist. All patients signed an informed consent form to participate and the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Ege University Medical School.

STATISTICAL ASSESSMENT

Arm lymphedema and late skin side effects were scored according to the LENT/SOMA scoring system. Variables affecting these late side effects were evaluated using the chi-square and fisher's exact tests. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. The calculations were performed with SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois).

LENT/SOMA AND RTOG LATE SIDE EFFECTS SCORING

Compared to the RTOG (Radiation Treatment Oncology Group) scale, LENT/SOMA criteria appear to be a better scale for late-radiation toxicity classification and recording. Thus in our study we preferred to use LENT/SOMA scoring system that should be considered as a standard reporting scale for late-radiation morbidity assessment.¹⁵

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. The calculations were performed with SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Variables affecting the late side effects were evaluated using the chi-square and fisher's exact test. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Ege University Medical School.

RESULTS

We retrospectively analysed late side effects of postoperative RT in 87 breast cancer patients treated with BCS. The distribution of patients with arm lymphedema and late skin side effects is shown in Table 2. The detailed number of events according to LENT-SOMA are listed in Table 3.

The detailed number of events according to LENT-SOMA are listed in Table 3.

Lymphedema of arm \geq grade 2 was observed in 4.5% of patients (n=4). Grade 2 and upper arm lymphedema was higher in patients over 60 years of age compared to \leq 60 years (p=0.027). Breast cancer patients with stage III had higher \geq grade 2 arm lymphedema (p=0.052). Dissected lymph nodes number >10 (p=0.055) were significantly correlated with increased \geq grade 2 arm lymphedema. Compared with RT to breast field, RT to BSA field was increased grade 2 and upper arm lymphedema (p=0.018). Axillary dose \geq 50 Gy (p=0.018) and supraclavicular dose \geq 50 Gy (p=0.018) were significantly correlated with increased grade 2 and upper arm lymphedema. Diabetes mellitus, menopause status, obesity, axillary dissection/sentinel lymph node biopsy and no axillary dissection, machine type for RT, chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, prescribed radiation dose including boost to breast were not significant risk factors for arm lymphedema (p $>$ 0.05). Factors leading to arm lymphedema of breast cancer patients treated with BCS and adjuvant RT are shown in Table 4.

Breast fibrosis \geq grade 2 was observed in 13.8% of patients (n=12). Grade 2 and upper skin fibrosis was lower in patients with sentinel lymph biopsy and without axillary dissection compared to

n=87	Case	Percent
No arm lymphedema (Grade 0)	n=73	83.9%
Arm lymphedema (Grade 1-3)	n=14	16.1%
No breast fibrosis (Grade 0)	n= 33	37.9%
Breast fibrosis (Grade 1-3)	n=54	62.1%
No breast retraction atrophy (Grade 0)	n=70	80.5%
Breast retraction atrophy (Grade 1-3)	n=17	19.5%
No breast telangiectasia (Grade 0)	n= 67	77%
Breast telangiectasia (Grade 1-3)	n=20	23%
No breast pigmentation (Grade 0)	n=75	86.2%
Breast pigmentation (Grade 1-3)	n=12	13.8%
No breast pain (Grade 0)	n=39	44.8%
Breast pain (Grade 1-3)	n=48	55.2%

axillary dissection (p=0.028). Compared with dissected lymph nodes number $10\leq$, the presence of more than 10 dissected lymph nodes increased \geq grade 2 skin fibrosis (p=0.011). Patients without hormonal therapy had more \geq grade 2 skin fibrosis (p=0.01). Breast retraction atrophy \geq grade 2 was observed in 3.4% of patients (n=3). Grade 2 and upper skin retraction atrophy was higher in patients treated with Co-60 machine than patients treated with linear accelerator machine (p=0.00).

Breast telangiectasia \geq grade 2 was observed in 23% of patients (n=20). Axillary dissection (p=0.032), dissected lymph nodes number >10 (p=0.009), use of Co-60 machine for RT (p=0.001) were significantly correlated with increased \geq grade 2 telangiectasia. Age, diabetes mellitus, menopause status, obesity, stage, radiation volume, supraclavicular dose, axillary dose, prescribed radiation dose including boost to breast, chemotherapy were not

Late side effect	Grade 0 (%)	Grade 1 (%)	Grade 2 (%)	Grade 3 (%)
Arm lymphedema	73 (83.9%)	10 (11.5%)	1 (1.1%)	3 (3.4%)
Fibrosis	33 (37.9%)	42 (48.3%)	11 (12.6%)	1 (1.1%)
Retraction atrophy	70 (80.5%)	14 (16.1%)	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.1%)
Telangiectasia	67 (77%)	-	7 (8%)	13 (14.9%)
Breast pigmentation	75 (86.2%)	12 (13.8%)	-	-
Breast pain	39 (44.8%)	43 (49.4%)	2 (2.3%)	3 (3.4%)

TABLE 4: Arm lymphedema of breast cancer patients treated with BCS and adjuvant RT.

n=87	Grade 0-1		≥ Grade 2		p value
	n	Percent	n	Percent	
Age ≤ 60 years	68	98.6%	1	1.4%	p=0.027
Age >60 years	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	
DCIS and stage I-II	76	97.4%	2	2.6%	p=0.052
Stage III	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	
Dissected lymph nodes number ≤ 10	44	100%	0	0%	p=0.055
Dissected lymph nodes number >10	39	90.7%	4	9.3%	
Breast field	54	100%	0	0%	p=0.018
BSA field	29	87.9%	4	12.1%	
Axillary no dose	54	100%	0	0%	p=0.018
Axillary dose ≥ 50 Gy	29	87.9%	4	12.1%	
Supraclavicular no dose	54	100%	0	0%	p=0.018
Supraclavicular dose 50 Gy	29	87.9%	4	12.1%	

Abbreviations: RT: Radiotherapy; BCS: Breast Conservative Surgery; BSA: Breast Supraclavicular Axillary Field.

significant risk factors for late skin radiation toxicities ($p>0.05$).

Factors leading to late skin radiation toxicities of breast cancer patients treated with BCS and adjuvant RT are shown in Table 5.

No patients had breast oedema nor breast ulcer. Breast pigmentation ≥ grade 2 was not ob-

served in our patients ($n=0$). Breast pigmentation was apparent in 12 patients out of 87 patients. Breast pain ≥ grade 2 was observed in 5.7% of patients ($n=5$). Fortythree (49.4%) had grade 1 breast pain and 5 patients (5.8%) had grade 2-3 breast pain. There was not any statistical correlation for breast pigmentation and breast pain.

TABLE 5: Late skin radiation toxicities of breast cancer patients treated with BCS and adjuvant RT N& Percent Rate & P value of Patients.

n=87	Fibrosis		Retraction atrophy		Telangiectasia	
	Grade 0-1	≥ Grade 2	Grade 0-1	≥ Grade 2	Grade 0-1	≥ Grade 2
Sentinel lymph biopsy and no axillary dissection	38 (95%)	2 (5%)			35 (87.5%)	5 (12.5%)
Axillary dissection	37 (78.7%)	10 (21.3%)			32 (68.1%)	15 (31.9%)
Dissected lymph nodes number 10 ≤	42 (95.5%)	2 (4.5%)			39 (88.6%)	5 (11.4%)
Dissected lymph nodes number 10 >	33 (76.7%)	10 (23.3%)			28 (65.1%)	15 (34.9%)
Co-60 machine			7 (70%)	3 (30%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)
Linac machine			77 (100%)	0 (0%)	64 (83.1%)	13 (16.9%)
No hormonal therapy	7 (58.3%)	5 (41.7%)				
Hormonal therapy	68 (90.7%)	7 (9.3%)				

DISCUSSION

Arm lymphedema was detected to be common complication of breast cancer treatment and resulted in functional impairment and psychological morbidity who have axillary dissection and radiation therapy.^{8,16-19} In their study, Dewar et al. reported the rates of upper-extremity arm lymphedema to be 33.7%, 26%, and 7.2%, respectively, in patients undergoing axillary surgery and radiotherapy, radiotherapy alone, and axillary dissection alone.²⁰ In a study by Sener et al. lymphedema was reported in 9 of the 303 patients (3%) who received sentinel lymphadenectomy and in 20 of the 117 patients (17%) who received sentinel lymphadenectomy combined with axillary dissection.^{12,21} Lucci et al. found no difference in the frequency of lymphedema of the arm after axillary surgery versus sentinel-lymphadenectomy by arm measurements 12 months after surgery.²² Our finding that 16.1% of the patients developed lymphedema is higher according to the prevalence reported in other studies.^{23,24} In our study, number of axillary lymph node ($p=0.055$), axillary dissection ($p>0.05$) were not significant factors regarding lymphedema in the arm.

RT related factors affecting cosmesis included large treatment volumes (tangential breast fields only vs. three or more fields) as our findings.^{8,12} In a large cohort of breast cancer patients prospectively screened for lymphedema, peripheral lymphatic irradiation significantly increased the risk of lymphedema compared with breast/chest wall radiation alone. When considering use of peripheral lymphatic irradiation, clinicians should weigh the potential benefit of peripheral lymphatic irradiation for control of disease against the increased risk of lymphedema similar with our findings.²⁵

In our study, patients over 60 years of age had most grade 2 and upper arm lymphedema. Menopausal status was not significant for arm lymphedema. Taylor et al. reported that lower proportion of excellent cosmetic scores were shown in patients with age > 60 years ($p=0.001$) and postmenopausal women ($p=0.02$).¹²

Markiewicz et al. reported that chemotherapy or hormonal therapy did not influence arm lymphedema as is the findings from our study.²⁶ The rate of lymphedema in patients with advanced breast cancer was higher than patients with early stage breast cancer ($p=0.018$) similar with our findings.²⁷

Obesity as a significant factor of lymphedema of the arm is controversially discussed in the literature.^{19,23,25} Obesity was important factor for lymphedema but we did not find any significance.²⁷

Fibrosis was proposed to have a dose-dependent relationship with radiotherapy.²⁸ Axillary irradiation increased the incidence of moderate to severe breast fibrosis in both premenopausal and postmenopausal patients. Due to a strong interaction between tamoxifen administration and radiation to the regional lymph nodes, the effect of tamoxifen on the development of fibrosis could not be fully discerned.²⁹ We did not find any relationship with radiotherapy dose, age, chemotherapy, and menopausal status for breast fibrosis. In our study, hormonal therapy did not increase breast fibrosis risk.

The reported incidence of telangiectasia in the literature ranges from 3.1-32.1%.^{30,31} In our study, incidence of telangiectasia was 23%. Telangiectasia was associated with use of Co-60 machine for RT, axillary dissection, number of dissected lymph nodes. Retraction atrophy was associated with use of Co-60 machine for RT in our patients. We think that since the Co-60 gamma rays has a little skin sparing effect with respect to 6 Mv linac x Rays, skin associated late effects with mostly seen with Co-60 usage.

No patients of all our patients had breast oedema. Breast oedema was associated with axillary surgery.³²

Radiotherapy after Breast Conservative Treatment is associated with more intense chronic breast pain.^{33,34} Ishiyama et al. identified additional boost irradiation as a predictive factor of breast pain.³⁵ In a recently published multivariate analysis by Mak et al. the volume treated to $\geq 110\%$ of the prescribed dose (PTV110) and hormonal therapy were statistically significant predictors of pain.³⁶ Breast pain \geq

grade 2 was observed in 5.7% of all our patients. There was not any statistical correlation for breast pain.

The limitations of our study include its retrospective nature. In addition, late side effects and the cosmetic result were assessed only once. We do not know whether the same low rate of chronic side effects would have been observed if periodically follow-up visits had been carried out.

CONCLUSIONS

In our study, to be over 60 years of age, large radiotherapy volume and high dose axillary RT were significant risk factors for arm lymphedema. Sentinel lymph node biopsy, a small number of axillary nodes dissected, RT by linear accelerator reduced late skin reactions. Hormonal therapy did not increase breast fibrosis risk. Menopausal status, obesity, diabetes mellitus, stage of tumor and chemotherapy administration did not impact arm lymphedema and late skin side effects. The findings from our study are in good correlation with the literature. The limitations of our study include its retrospective nature and the small number of patients.

Acknowledgement

Thank you for your time and assistance, Sincerely.

Source of Finance

During this study, no financial or spiritual support was received neither from any pharmaceutical company that has a direct connection with the research subject, nor from a company that provides or produces medical instruments and materials which may negatively affect the evaluation process of this study.

Conflict of Interest

No conflicts of interest between the authors and / or family members of the scientific and medical committee members or members of the potential conflicts of interest, counseling, expertise, working conditions, share holding and similar situations in any firm.

Authorship Contributions

Idea/Concept: Zeynep Özşaran, Halil Sağınç; **Design:** Halil Sağınç; **Control/Supervision:** Zeynep Özşaran, Halil Sağınç; **Data Collection and/or Processing:** Halil Sağınç, Özgür Yıldırım; **Analysis and/or Interpretation:** Halil Sağınç, Bahar Baltarlı; **Writing the Article:** Halil Sağınç; **Critical Review:** Zeynep Özşaran; **References and Fundings:** Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology; **Materials:** Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology.

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures 2016. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2016.
- Overgaard M, Hansen PS, Overgaard J, Rose C, Andersson M, Bach F, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk premenopausal women with breast cancer who receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group 82b Trial. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337(14):949-55.
- Overgaard M, Jensen MB, Overgaard J, Hansen PS, Rose C, Andersson M, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk postmenopausal breast-cancer patients given adjuvant tamoxifen: Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group DBCG 82c randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999;353(9165):1641-8.
- Recht A, Edge SB, Solin LJ, Robinson DS, Estabrook A, Fine RE, et al. Postmastectomy radiotherapy: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19(5):1539-69.
- Van de Steene J, Vinh-Hung V, Cutuli B, Storme G. Adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer: effects of longer follow-up. *Radiother Oncol* 2004;72(1):35-43.
- Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J, Margolese RG, Deutsch M, Fisher ER, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(16):1233-41.
- Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, Greco M, Saccozzi R, Luini A, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(16):1227-32.
- Goffman TE, Laronga C, Wilson L, Elkins D. Lymphedema of the arm and breast in irradiated breast cancer patients: risks in an era of dramatically changing axillary surgery. *Breast J* 2004;10(5):405-11.
- Pusic AL, Cemal Y, Albornoz C, Klassen A, Cano S, Sulimanoff I, et al. Quality of life among breast cancer patients with lymphedema: a systematic review of patient-reported outcome instruments and outcomes. *J Cancer Surviv* 2013;7(1):83-92.
- Fajardo LF. Basic mechanisms and general morphology of radiation injury. *Semin Roentgenol* 1993;28(4):297-302.
- Mukesh MB, Barnett GC, Wilkinson JS, Moody AM, Wilson C, Dorling L, et al. Randomized controlled trial of intensity-modulated radiotherapy for early breast cancer: 5-year results confirm superior overall cosmesis. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31(36):4488-95.
- Taylor ME, Perez CA, Halverson KJ, Kuske RR, Philpott GW, Garcia DM, et al. Factors influencing cosmetic results after conservation therapy for breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1995;31(4):753-64.

13. Vrieling C, Collette L, Fourquet A, Hoogenraad WJ, Horiot JH, Jager JJ, et al. The influence of patient, tumor and treatment factors on the cosmetic results after breast-conserving therapy in the EORTC 'boost vs. no boost' trial. EORTC Radiotherapy and Breast Cancer Cooperative Groups. *Radiother Oncol* 2000;55(3):219-32.
14. International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU). ICRU Report 62. Bethesda: ICRU; 1999. Prescribing, Recording and Reporting Photon Beam Therapy (supplement to ICRU Report 50).
15. Hoeller U, Tribius S, Kuhlmeier A, Grader K, Fehlauer F, Alberti W. Increasing the rate of late toxicity by changing the score? A comparison of RTOG/EORTC and LENT/SOMA scores. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2003;55(4):1013-8.
16. Erickson VS, Pearson ML, Ganz PA, Adams J, Kahn KL. Arm edema in breast cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93(2):96-111.
17. Maunsell E, Brisson J, Deschênes L. Arm problems and psychological distress after surgery for breast cancer. *Can J Surg* 1993;36(4):315-20.
18. Mansel RE, Fallowfield L, Kissin M, Goyal A, Newcombe RG, Dixon JM, et al. Randomized multicenter trial of sentinel node biopsy versus standard axillary treatment in operable breast cancer: The ALMANAC Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006;98(9):599-609.
19. Del Bianco P, Zavagno G, Burelli P, Scalco G, Barutta L, Carraro P, et al. Morbidity comparison of sentinel lymph node biopsy versus conventional axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer patients: results of the sentinella-GIVOM Italian randomised clinical trial. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2008;34(5):508-13.
20. Dewar JA, Sarrazin D, Benhamou E, Petit JY, Benhamou S, Arriagada R, et al. Management of the axilla in conservatively treated breast cancer: 592 patients treated at Institut Gustave-Roussy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1987;13(4):475-81.
21. Sener SF, Winchester DJ, Martz CH, Feldman JL, Cavanaugh JA, Winchester DP, et al. Lymphedema after sentinel lymphadenectomy for breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 2001;92(4):748-52.
22. Lucci A, McCall LM, Beitsch PD, Whitworth PW, Reintgen DS, Blumencranz PW, et al. Surgical complications associated with sentinel lymph node dissection (SLND) plus axillary lymph node dissection compared with SLND alone in the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Trial Z0011. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25(24):3657-63.
23. Kwan W, Jackson J, Weir LM, Dingee C, McGregor G, Olivetto IA. Chronic arm morbidity after curative breast cancer treatment: prevalence and impact on quality of life. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20(20):4242-8.
24. Ahmed RL, Prizment A, Lazovich D, Schmitz KH, Folsom AR. Lymphedema and quality of life in breast cancer survivors: the Iowa Women's Health Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26(35):5689-96.
25. Warren LE, Miller CL, Horick N, Skolny MN, Jammallo LS, Sadek BT, et al. The impact of radiation therapy on the risk of lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2014;88(3):565-71.
26. Markiewicz DA, Schultz DJ, Haas JA, Harris EE, Fox KR, Glick JH, et al. The effects of sequence and type of chemotherapy and radiation therapy on cosmesis and complications after breast conservation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1996;35(4):661-8.
27. Ugur S, Arıcı C, Yaprak M, Mesci A, Arıcı GA, Dolay K, et al. Risk factors of breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Lymphat Res Biol* 2013;11(2):72-5.
28. Johansson S, Svensson H, Denekamp J. Dose response and latency for radiation-induced fibrosis, edema, and neuropathy in breast cancer patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2002;52(5):1207-19.
29. Johansen J, Overgaard J, Overgaard M. Effect of adjuvant systemic treatment on cosmetic outcome and late normal-tissue reactions after breast conservation. *Acta Oncol* 2007;46(4):525-33.
30. Lilla C, Ambrosone CB, Kropp S, Helmbold I, Schmezer P, von Fournier D, et al. Predictive factors for late normal tissue complications following radiotherapy for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007;106(1):143-50.
31. Bantema-Joppe EJ, Schilstra C, de Bock GH, Dolsma WV, Busz DM, Langendijk JA, et al. Simultaneous integrated boost irradiation after breast-conserving surgery: physician-rated toxicity and cosmetic outcome at 30 months' follow-up. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;83(4):e471-7.
32. Hille-Betz U, Vaske B, Bremer M, Soergel P, Kundu S, Klappdor R, et al. Late radiation side effects, cosmetic outcomes and pain in breast cancer patients after breast-conserving surgery and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy: risk-modifying factors. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2016;192(1):8-16.
33. Meretoja TJ, Leidenius MHK, Tasmuth T, Sipilä R, Kalso E. Pain at 12 months after surgery for breast cancer. *JAMA* 2014;311(1):90-2.
34. Poleshuck EL, Katz J, Andrus CH, Hogan LA, Jung BF, Kulick DI, et al. Risk factors for chronic pain following breast cancer surgery: a prospective study. *J Pain* 2006;7(9):626-34.
35. Ishiyama H, Niino K, Hosoya T, Hayakawa K. Results of a questionnaire survey for symptom of late complications caused by radiotherapy in breast conserving therapy. *Breast Cancer* 2006;13(2):197-201.
36. Mak KS, Chen YH, Catalano PJ, Punglia RS, Wong JS, Truong L, et al. Dosimetric inhomogeneity predicts for long-term breast pain after breast-conserving therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015;93(5):1087-95.