# ORİJİNAL ARAŞTIRMA ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Zekeriya AKTÜRK, MD,<sup>a</sup> Nezih DAĞDEVİREN, MD,<sup>a</sup> Fatmanur ENEÇ CAN, MD,<sup>b</sup> İlkay AYDEMİR, MD,<sup>b</sup> Kenan TAŞTAN, MD<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Atatürk University Faculty of Medicine, Erzurum <sup>b</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Trakya University Faculty of Medicine,

Geliş Tarihi/*Received:* 25.10.2009 Kabul Tarihi/*Accepted:* 13.02.2010

Edirne

Yazışma Adresi/*Correspondence:* Zekeriya AKTÜRK, MD Atatürk University Faculty of Medicine Department of Family Medicine, Erzurum, TÜRKİYE/TURKEY zekeriya.akturk@gmail.com

# An Exercise Facility Connected to Family Practice Offices as a Solution for Female Obesity

Kadınlarda Şişmanlığın Tedavisinde Bir Çözüm Olarak Aile Hekimliği Merkezleriyle Bağlantılı Bir Egzersiz Ortamı

ABSTRACT Objective: We checked whether the hypothesis of providing a facility to exercise and giving a simplified diet based on glycemic index could cause any benefit to the management of our obese female patients. Material and Methods: 146 female obese patients (Body Mass Index, BMI ≥ 30) were enrolled into the study. Patients were randomized into four groups according to the therapy they received: "simple exercise prescription + dietician consultation" (Gr 1), "simple exercise prescription + modified glycemic diet reommended by the family physician" (Gr 2), "observed exercise in the fitness center + dietician consultation" (Gr 3), and "observed exercise in the fitness center + modified glycemic diet reommended by the family physician" (Gr 4). Patients were followed up for six months. Bivariate comparisons and linear regression tests were used for statistical analysis. The main outcome measured was change in the BMI values at the end of six months. Results: All groups had significant decreases in BMI values at the end of study, Gr 3 having the highest decrease (mean 1.88 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Bivariate comparisons showed a significant difference in the BMI changes with regard to the exercise group and motivation to lose weight. In the linear regression analysis however, only exercise was significantly associated with weight loss. Conclusion: A combination of exercise type under supervision and dietician consultation proved to be most effective in weight loss. Providing fitness opportunities to obese patients and inclusion of a dietician in the family practice team will be beneficial in the management of female obesity.

Key Words: Obesity; glycemic index; exercise therapy; family practice

ÖZET Amaç: Şişman hastalarımıza egzersiz yapabilecekleri bir ortam sağlanması ve glisemik endekse dayalı basitleştirilmiş bir diyet verilmesinin şişmanlığın tedavisinde bir yarar sağlayıp sağlamadığını araştırdık. Gereç ve Yöntemler: Araştırmamıza 146 şişman kadın dahil edildi (Beden Kitle Endeksi, VKİ ≥ 30). Hastalar verilen tedaviye göre dört gruba randomize edildi: "basit egzersiz önerisi + diyetisyen konsültasyonu" (Gr 1), "basit egzersiz önerisi + aile hekimi tarafından modifiye glisemik bir diyet verilmesi" (Gr 2), "egzersiz merkezinde gözlem altında egzersiz + diyetisyen konsültasyonu" (Gr 3) ve "egzersiz merkezinde gözlem altında egzersiz + aile hekimi tarafından modifiye glisemik bir diyet verilmesi" (Gr 4). Hastalar altı ay boyunca takip edildi. İstatistiksel analizde ikili hipotez testleri ve lineer regresyon analizi kullanıldı. Ana sonuç ölçütü olarak altıncı ayın sonundaki BKİ değişimi dikkate alındı. Bulgular: Altıncı ayın sonunda tüm gruplarda anlamlı derecede BKİ değerlerinde azalma gözlendi. En fazla azalma grup 3'te idi (ort 1.88 kg/m²). İkili karşılastırmalarda egzersiz cesidi ve kilo verme motivasyonunun BKİ azalmasını anlamlı derecede etkilediği bulundu. Bununla birlikte lineer regresyon analizinde tek anlamlı değişkenin egzersiz türü olduğu görüldü. Sonuç: Gözlem altında egzersiz ve diyetisyen konsültasyonu kombinasyonunun kadınlarda kilo vermede en etkili yöntem olduğu bulundu. Şişman kadınlara egzersiz yapabilecekleri ortamların sağlanması ve aile hekimliği merkezinde bir diyetisyenin bulunması bu kişilerde tedavi başarısını artıracaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Şişmanlık; glisemik indeks; egzersiz tedavisi; aile hekimliği

Copyright © 2010 by Türkiye Klinikleri

Turkiye Klinikleri J Cardiovasc Sci 2010;22(2):193-9

besity has reached epidemic proportions globally, with more than 1 billion adults overweight - at least 300 million of them clinically obese - and is a major contributor to the global burden of chronic disease and disabilities.<sup>1</sup> It is a major risk for chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension and stroke, and certain forms of cancer.

In the United States, nearly 1/3 of adults are obese (27.6% of men and 33.2% of women) and one in six children and adolescents is overweight.<sup>2</sup> Increased prevalence of excessive weight is noted among all age, gender and racial/ethnic groups. Its prevalence is steadily increasing, reaching 10% in West African countries<sup>3</sup> and 30-60% in the Mediterranean region.<sup>4</sup> In Turkey, the overall prevalence of obesity in adults was 18.6% and 21.9% in the years 1990 and 2000 respectively.<sup>5</sup>

Especially effective in changing the coronary risk factors, weight loss has beneficial effects on all obesity-related health problems.<sup>6</sup> However, the effectiveness of different approaches in the prevention and treatment of obesity is not so clear. The American Academy of Family Physicians recommends that family physicians screen all adult patients for obesity and offer intensive counseling and behavioral interventions.

Two major approaches in the fight against obesity are exercise and healthy diet. Although family physicians have advantages of having first contact with the patient, establishing a trusted relationship and long term follow up,<sup>7</sup> they are disadvantaged from the point of resources. Although some communities have facilities to implement these approaches, some others, especially developing countries do not. Even if there are facilities, they may not be affordable for some patients or there might be cultural barriers, especially for women from conservative communities.

In a search for a solution for our obese female patients to lose weight, we decided to test the hypothesis whether establishing exercise facilities within the family practice combined with two different types of dietary approaches could be useful.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

## SETTING

Trakya University Family Medicine Department provides general outpatient services to an urban population of around 10.000 inhabitants in Edirne, a Turkish city at the Greek border.

## STUDY TYPE

In order to answer the question "Can a combination of alternative diet and exercise compared with classical obesity management program decrease body mass index in female obese patients?" we decided to test two different types of exercise and dietary approaches in our cohort of obese patients registered to the family practice clinic. A two-factorial study was designed. The patients were randomized into four groups and followed up for six months.

## SAMPLE SIZE

Sample size calculations were done with the MiniTab<sup>®</sup> software (http://www.minitab.com/) Power and Sample Size Calculation module. Previously BMI  $\delta$  levels were reported to be between 1.8 and 2.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>8</sup> In a two level factorial design,  $\delta$  = 2.3, effect of interest = 1.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and replicates = 27 will give a power of 92%.

### PATIENTS

Out of 1874 registered patients to the clinic in May 2007, 385 (15.2%) had a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 30 and above. From the database of obese patients, 150 were randomly enrolled into the study. None of the subjects had diabetes mellitus and none reported a history of cardiovascular disease or was taking agents that could lead to obesity. Patients were contacted by telephone and invited to come for the enrollment visit. Four patients refused to participate. All written consents were provided, and the study was approved by the local Ethics Committee at the Trakya University Hospital.

Patients were randomly assigned into four groups according to the type of diet and exercise they received:

■ G1: exercise prescription (E1) + dietician consultation (D1)

G2: exercise prescription (E1) + modified glycemic diet (D2)

G3: observed exercise (E2) + dietician consultation (D1)

■ G4: observed exercise (E2) + modified glycemic diet (D2)

#### INTERVENTIONS

The different types of interventions are described below:

Exercise prescription (E1): all participants enrolled to this exercise type were advised to jog three times per week, each time for 45 minutes. Maximum Predicted Heart Rate (MPHR) was calculated from the formula MPHR = 220 – age. During the enrollment examination the participants were asked to walk on the treadmill until they reached 60 to 90% of their MPHR. Then the participants were advised to do their regular exercise in this tempo. These participants were not supervised during their exercise activities. They were asked to keep an exercise log and come to the family practice center at one month intervals to discuss the progress.

Observed exercise (E2): participants enrolled to this exercise type were asked to come to the exercise room established within the FP center (Figure 1). Exercise appointments were given for walking on the treadmill three times per week, each time for 45 minutes. MPHR was calculated from the formula MPHR= 220-age. The exercise sessions were observed by the health staff and ensured that the participants reached 60 to 90% of their MPHR. Exercise sessions were conducted on an individual basis. However, there were more than one patients exercising in the same session usually.

Dietician consultation (D1): a dietician from the university hospital was consulted for patients in this diet group. Patients were asked to follow the guidance of the dietician. The dietician was not informed about the study groups.

• Modified glycemic diet (D2): Glycemic Index (GI) is a scale which ranks foods by how much they raise blood glucose levels compared to



FIGURE 1: Exercise room within the family practice center with treadmills.

glucose.<sup>9</sup> Originally developed as a tool for the dietary management of diabetes, the GI has been promoted as a dietary tool for weight loss. Patients assigned to this diet were asked to keep a diet log for 2 days. Using this log, the family physician conducted a counseling session with the participant. Patients were educated on the glycemic principles and asked to remove nutrients with high glycemic index from their diets. The patients were seen with monthly intervals to discuss problems with the diet and promote adherence.

After randomization, all four groups were similar with respect to age, BMI, fasting blood glucose, and blood pressures (Table 1).

All participants were re-evaluated at onemonth intervals.

#### OUTCOME

The main outcome measure was Body Mass Index (BMI). Additionally, information about age, BMI, fasting blood glucose, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure was collected. Height and weight measurements were done on a standard metric scale. Blood pressure measurements were done with a mercury sphygmomanometer from the right arm while sitting. Plasma glucose concentration was measured by the hexokinase method.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Analyses were done using SPSS 15 (SPSS Software, Chicago, IL). There was approximately 20% drop out (n= 31) during the study (Figure 2). Group

KADINLARDA ŞİŞMANLIĞIN TEDAVİSİNDE BİR ÇÖZÜM OLARAK AİLE HEKİMLİĞİ MERKEZLERİYLE...

Group	Age (year)	BMI1 (kg/m2)	FBG (mg/dl)	SBP1 (mmHg)	DBP1 (mmHg)				
1	46.6±10.2	35.8 ± 4.1	90.5 ± 15.9	134.6 ± 27.3	82.4 ± 15.0				
2	43.1 ± 8.2	$35.7 \pm 4.0$	91.9 ± 13.0	127.8 ± 16.0	84.3 ± 10.3				
3	$40.8 \pm 8.8$	$36.9 \pm 4.9$	92.6 ± 22.8	135.2 ± 15.8	86.9 ± 12.1				
4	41.5 ± 9.7	38.5 ± 7.2	92.6 ± 14.6	138.2 ± 25.2	88.4 ± 14.6				
Total	$42.9 \pm 9.5$	36.9 ± 5.5	92.0 ± 16.7	134.6 ± 22.3	85.8 ± 13.4				
F, p	2.199; 0.092	1.827; 0.146	0.091; 0.965	1.048; 0.374	1.182; 0.320				

BMI1= Baseline Body Mass Index, FBG = Fasting Blood glucose, SBP1= Baseline Systolic blood pressure, DBP1= Baseline Diastolic blood pressure. (Values are mean ± Standard Deviation).

Groups: 1 - exercise prescription + dietician consultation; 2 - exercise prescription + modified glycemic diet; 3 - observed exercise + dietician consultation;

4 - observed exercise + modified glycemic diet.



FIGURE 2: The study profile with patient progress. FP: Family practice.

characteristics were compared by analysis of variance with Bonferroni adjustments and paired samples t test. Associations were examined by simple linear regression method. General linear modeling was used to assess the main and interactive effects of the interventions.

# RESULTS

The pretreatment characteristics of the obese subjects randomly assigned to the 4 treatment groups are shown in Table 1. On average, they were middle-aged, class II obese, and normotensive. Most of the participants were primary school graduates (44.8%; n= 51). 14 participants (12.3%) had graduated from secondary school, 35 (30.7%) from high school and 14 (12.3%) from a college. 60 of the participants (52.2%) had given 2 births. The average number of births was  $2.1 \pm 0.9$ . Sixty six of the participants (57.4%) had previous attempts of dieting in order to lose weight. Of the participants, 26

<b>TABLE 2:</b> Body Mass Index (BMI), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP)   in the subjects at baseline and month 6.										
					Main effects ( ±SEM (p))					
	G1 ( ±SD)	G2 ( ±SD)	G3 ( ±SD)	G4 ( ±SD)	Observed exercise	Glycemic die				
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )										
Baseline	35.8±41	35.7±4.0	36.9±4.9	38.5±7.2						
Month 6	35.2±4.1	35.2±4.2	35.0±4.7	37.2±7.3	1.1±0.3 (0.001)	-0.3 ±0.3 (0,23				
t; p	2.961; 0.006	2.308; 0,030	4.413; 0.001	6.495; 0.001						
SBP (mmHg)										
Baseline	134.6±27.3	127.8±16.0	135.2±15.8	138.2 ±25.2						
Month 6	130.2±16.9	126.7±16.9	127.0 ±14.6	131.5±16.9	4.7±3.0 (0.116)	-2.4±3.0 (0,42				
t; p	1.237; 0.227	1.226; 0.233	3.114; 0.004	2.223; 0,033						
DBP (mmHg)										
Baseline	82.4±15.0	84.3±10.3	86.9±12.1	88.4±14.6						
Month 6	83.7±12.9	84.2±10.9	83.9±10.2	85.0±12.7	3.7±1.8 (0.039)	0.9±1.8 (0.59				
t; p	-0.69; 0.960	0.336; 0.740	1.632; 0.115	1.632; 0.115						

(20.9%) were current smokers, 19 (16.5%) ex smokers and 72 (62.6) never smokers. The desire to lose wight was graded as "not sure", "moderate" and "high" with 3 (2.6%), 63 (54.8%) and 49 (42.6%) participants in each group respectively. No significant group differences were observed with regard to the measured variables at the beginning of the study.

Univariate comparisons showed a significant difference in the BMI changes with regard to the exercise group and motivation to lose weight. In the linear regression analysis however, only exercise was significantly associated with weight loss.

There was a significant decrease in the BMI levels in all groups (paired samples *t*-test *t* and *p* 2.961 vs. 0.006, 2.308 vs. 0.03, 4.413 vs. <0.001, and 6.495 vs. <0.001 for groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively). Goup 3 had the highest decrease in BMI levels (mean difference 1.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) followed by Group 4 (mean difference 1.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). However, differences in the systolic blood pressures were significant only for groups 3 and 4. On the other hand, diastolic blood pressure differences before and after the intervention were not significant for any group (Table 2).

We found significant differences between the individual groups with regard to BMI differences (F= 5.9; p= 0.001), group 4 having the highest de-

crease in BMI after the intervention. Mean BMI decreases in the groups were 0.53, 0.47, 1.89, and 1.30 for groups 1 through 4 respectively.

The effects of interventions on BMI and blood pressure changes are shown in Table 2. Main effects were calculated. In the factorial analysis, a significant main effect was observed for observed exercise in decreasing BMI and diastolic blood pressure (Figure 3). No significant interactions were observed between glycemic diet applications for any of the variables.

In a linear regression model with the independent variables of treatment group, age, educational status, number of births, dieting history, smoking status, and desire to lose weight, treatment group (p= 0.001) and number of births (p= 0.027) showed to be statistically significant.

## DISCUSSION

The problem addressed in this study was to find a convenient, effective, and applicable method to help obese patients in family practice losing weight. Exercise prescription was compared with providing an exercise facility within the family practice. Classical dietician consultation on the other hand was tested against a simplified modified glycemic diet approach, which was expected to be cheaper and more convenient for the patients.



FIGURE 3: Estimated marginal means of differences between first and last BMI measurements.

Although establishing an exercise facility within the practice proved to be effective, contrary to our hypothesis, the glycemic diet approach was inferior compared to dietician consultation.

Although there are well known benefits of exercise, there is still debate on the medical approach to help patients adopting an effective exercise program. There is evidence that exercise on prescription can increase physical activity and improve some variables of quality of life.<sup>10</sup> Kinmonth and colleagues on the other hand claim that a facilitated theory-based behavioural intervention is no more effective than an advice leaflet for promotion of physical activity.<sup>11</sup> Since the built environment can be held partially responsible from the current exercise status of our patients, one logical suggestion is to start changing the environment as suggested by Wakefield.<sup>12</sup> Family physicians can contribute to the change in patient environment by offering their patients to attend exercise centers attached to the practice. These exercise units can be established by the municipalities, NGOs dealing with health promotion, private corporations, or other organizations such as the universities as in our example.

The classical approach in obesity is preventive strategies plus exercise and calorie restriction diets. However, the long-term treatment of obesity has generally disappointing results.<sup>13</sup> Glycemic index was introduced as a solution for lifelong behavioral change.<sup>14,15</sup> However, some authors concluded that GI has little application in clinical practice, as a useful tool to reduce the prevalence of obesity.<sup>16,17</sup> Although suggested to be beneficial as part of the team,<sup>18</sup> dieticians are rarely available in the primary care team. Hence, there is a constant search for alternatives. The modified glycemic diet approach tested in this study could be a good alternative from the points of easy application and being independent of external resources. However, our results support the view that the GI issue needs to be studied more before any solid suggestions can be made to apply it in family practice.

#### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

This is a study comparing two important factors in obesity management. It is strong in the sense that it addresses an important and insufficiently evaluated aspect of obesity management.

On the other hand, it could be further improved by calculating the total energy expenditure and total calorie intake of the participants.

## CONCLUSION

Physical fitness levels are declining, while the in-

cidence of obesity is increasing all over the world. Family physicians, with their team, are in a unique position to be able to discuss the health benefits of regular physical activity with their patients during the consultation and offer, if appropriate, a prescription for a course of physical activity. A combination of exercise under supervision and dietician consultation proves to be an effective approach in weight loss. Providing fitness opportunities to obese patients and inclusion of a dietician in the family practice team will be beneficial in the management of obese women.

## REFERENCES

- Puska P, Nishid C, Porter D. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Obesity and overweight. Resolution WHA55.23. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003. p.1-2.
- Baskin ML, Ard J, Franklin F, Allison DB. Prevalence of obesity in the United States. Obes Rev 2005;6(1):5-7.
- Abubakari AR, Lauder W, Agyemang C, Jones M, Kirk A, Bhopal RS. Prevalence and time trends in obesity among adult West African populations: a meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2008;9(4):297-311.
- Musaiger AO.Overweight and obesity in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: can we control it? East Mediterr Health J 2004;10(6):789-93.
- 5. Yumuk VD. Prevalence of obesity in Turkey. Obes Rev 2005;6(1):9-10.
- Omurlu KY. [Obesity]. Turkiye Klinikleri J Int Med Sci 2007;3(33):21-5.
- Aladag N. [Management of adult obesity in primary care]. Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci 2004;24(5):508-517.

- Adiguzel E, Akdogan I, Zencir M, Akdogan D, Ugur K. [The relation between some somatometric measurements, blood pressure and total blood cholesterol]. Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci 2002;22(6):562-7.
- Berra B, Rizzo AM. Glycemic index, glycemic load, wellness and beauty: the state of the art. Clin Dermatol 2009;27(2):230-5.
- Lawton BA, Rose SB, Raina Elley C, Dowell AC, Fenton A, Moyes SA. Exercise on prescription for women aged 40-74 recruited through primary care: two year randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med 2009;43(2):120-3.
- Kinmonth AL, Wareham NJ, Hardeman W, Sutton S, Prevost AT, Fanshawe T, et al. Efficacy of a theory-based behavioural intervention to increase physical activity in an at-risk group in primary care (ProActive UK): a randomised trial. Lancet 2008;371(9606):41-8.
- Wakefield J. Fighting obesity through the built environment.) Environ Health Perspect 2004;112(11):A616-8.

- Anderson GJ, Hensrud DD. Obesity. In: Rakel RE, ed . Textbook of Family Medicine. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2007. p.1075-87.
- Lobykina EN, Koltun VZ, Khvostova OI. [Food glycemic index and its application in the dietetics of obesity]. Article in Russian] Vopr Pitan 2007;76(1):14-21.
- Livesey G. Low-glycaemic diets and health: implications for obesity. Proc Nutr Soc 2005;64(1):105-13.
- Hare-Bruun H, Nielsen BM, Grau K, Oxlund AL, Heitmann BL. Should glycemic index and glycemic load be considered in dietary recommendations? Nutr Rev 2008;66(10):569-90.
- Guttierres AP, Alfenas Rde C. [Effects of glycemic index on energy balance]. [Article in Portuguese] Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol 2007;51(3):382-8.
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, British Medical Association. Teamworking in Primary Healthcare: Realizing Shared Aims in Patient Care. London, Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, 2000:7-44.