# The Effectiveness and Importance of Insulin Resistance in the Etiopathogenesis and Progression of Coronary Artery Disease

İNSULİNE DİRENCİN KORONER ARTER HASTALIĞININ ETYOPATOGENEZ VE PROGRESYONUNDAKİ ETKİSİ VE ÖNEMİ

Kani GEMİCİ\*, İbrahim BARAN\*, Ercan TUNCEL\*\*, Sümeyye GÜLLÜLÜ\*, A.Rıza KAZAZOĞLU\*, Şazi İMAMOĞLU\*\*, Jale ÇORDAN\*

\* Dept. of Cardiology, Medical School of Uludağ University,

\*\* Dept of Endocrinology, Medical School of Uludağ University, Bursa, TURKEY

## -Summary\_

- Background and Aim: Risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD) including family histoiy, cigarette smoking, lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities may be metabolically interlinked with insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia. This study evaluates the relation between insulin resistance and some other metabolic and anthropometric parameters with the presence and severity of CAD.
- Methods: The study subjects comprised 90 (72 male, 18 female; mean age  $55.03 \pm 0.89$  years) patients with angiographically demonstrated coronary artery disease and 43 (32 male, 11 female; mean age  $51.62 \pm 1.66$  years) angiographically normal control subjects. Glucose and insulin metabolism, C-peptide, lipids and lipoproteins and some anthropometric parameters were evaluated and compared in both groups. The patient group was divided into 2 subgroups according to whether if they had hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistantce. The role of hyperinsulinemia in etiopathogenesis and severity of C A D was assessed.
- Results: On univariate analyses, significant correlations were found between C A D and positive family history of C A D (p<0.01), cigarette smoking (p<0.01), insulin resistance, (p<0.05), C-peptide (p<0.001), total Cholesterol (p<0.0001), LDL-Cholesterol (p<0.0001), HDL-Cholesterol (p<0.05), triglyceride (p<0.01), Apo A1 (p<0.05), Apo B (p<0.001) and Lp(a) (p<0.005) levels. Age, body weight, body mass index, subcutaneous adipose tissue thickness, waist-hip ratio, glucose intolerance, and alcohol consumption showed no statistical differences in the patient and control groups. Fasting 120th

Received: Oct. 14, 1999

Correspondance: Kani GEMİCİ

Dept. of Cardiology Medical School of Uludağ University 16059, Görükle, Bursa, TURKEY

# Özet—

- Amaç: Koroner arter hastalığı (KAH)'nın risk faktörlerinden aile anamnezi, sigara, lipid ve lipoprotein anormallikleri insulin direnci ve hiperinsulinemi ile metabolik bir etkileşim gösterebilir. Bu çalışma insulin direnci ile bazı metabolik ve antropometrik parametrelerin KAH'nın varlığı ve ağırlığı ile ilişkisini değerlendirmek amacıyla planlanmıştır.
- Metodlar: Çalışma grubunu K A H anjiyografik olarak gösterilen 90 (72 erkek, 18 kadın, ortalama yaş 55.03±0.89) hasta, kontrol grubunu da anjiyografik olarak K A H olmadığı gösterilen 43 (32 erkek, 11 kadın, ortalama yaş 51.62±1.66) olgu oluşturdu. İki grup arasında glukoz ve insulin değerleri, C-peptid, lipid ve lipoproteinler ve bazı antropometrik parametreler değerlendirildi ve karşılaştırıldı. Hasta grubu, hiperinsulinemisi olanlar ve olmayanlar olarak 2 alt gruba ayrılarak, hiperinsulincminin KAH'nın etiyopatogenezi ve ağırlığındaki rolü değerlendirildi.
- Bulgular: Univariate analizlerde KAH ile aile anamnezi (pO.01), sigara içimi (p<0.01), insuline direnç (p<0.05), C-peptid (pO.001), total kolesterol (pO.0001), LDL-kolesterol (p<0.0001), HDL-kolesterol (p<0.05), trigliserid (p<0.01), Apo Al (p<0.05), Apo B (p<0.001), ve Lp(a) (p<0.005) düzeyleri arasında anlamlı korelasyonlar saptandı. Yaş, vücut ağırlığı, vücut kitle indeksi, cilt altı yağ dokusu kalınlıkları, göbek-kalça oranı, glukoz intoleransı ve alkol tüketimi bakımından kontrol grubu ile hasta grubu arasında anlamlı bir farklılık bulunmadı. İnsuline direnç saptanan ve koroner lezvon ağırlıkları Gensini skoru ile değerlendirilen hastalarda oral glukoz tolerans testi sırasında elde edilen 120. dakika açlık kan şekeri ve toplam insulin değerleri, KAH'nın ağırlığı ile anlamlı düzeyde korelasyon gösteriyordu. Multivariate ve lojistik regresyon analizlerinde koroner arter lezyon skoru kolesterol düzeyleriyle pozitif (p<0.05), HDL-kolesterol düzeyleriyle negatif bir korelasyon gösterirken, diğer

minute and sum of insulin levels during Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) were significantly correlated with severity of C A D, as evaluated by Gensini coronary artery lesion score, in C A D patients with insulin resistance (p<0.05, p<0.01 and p0.001 respectively). On multivariate and logistic regression analyses, coronary artery lesion score also was correlated positively with total cholesterol (p<0.05) and negatively with HDL-cholesterol (p<0.01), but was not correlated with the other lipid fractions, smoking and C-peptide levels.

- **Conclusions:** These findings may suggest the role of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in the atherogenesis of CAD. Furthermore, these data may suggest that in patients with CAD, insulin resistance may be not only an important risk factor, but also may be a significant correlated factor for severity of CAD.
- Key Words: Coronary risk factors, Insulin resistance, Coronary lesion score

T Klin J Med Res 2000, 18:56-63

The risk factors related to etiopathogenesis of CAD, a growing public health problem all over the world, has been investigated. In order to discuss etiopathogenesis of CAD, several risk factors have been determined and suspected (1,2). The precise level and pathogenesis of some of these factors have been elucidated while several others are currently being investigated (3,4).

Besides the classical risk factors for atherosclerosis namely; hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, and diabetes, it is now being recognized that insulin resistance may be a fundamental underlying metabolic defect, which is causing atherosclerosis (5, 6). Recently, some clinical and epidemiological data have also implicated that insulin resistance may be an independent risk factor for CAD (7-11).

The hypothesis that insulin in excessive amounts is atherogenic was first promulgated by Stout and Owen (12). A few experimental and clinical studies were carried out to determine the mechanisms of the atherogenic action of insulin (13,14). Spallorassa et al. (15) found positive association between plasma insulin levels and angiographically documented significant CAD strengthening the epidemiological view that hyperinsulinemia may be associated with an increased risk for CAD mortality and nonfatal myocardial infarction. Some other studies showed that there was positive correlipid fraksiyonları, sigara ve C-peptid düzeyleriyle anlamlı bir ilişki saptanmadı.

Sonuç: Bu sonuçlar KAH'nm patogenezinde hiperinsulineminin bir rolü olabileceğini gösterebilir. Dahası, bu veri-ler insulin direncinin koroner arter hastalarında sadece önemli bir risk faktörü değil, KAH'nm ağırlığı ile de yakından ilişkili olabileceğini gösterebilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Koroner risk faktörleri, İnsuline direnç, Koroner lezyon skoru

T Klin Araştırma 2000, 18:56-63

lation between serum insulin levels and coronary lesion score (16-18).

insulin promotes the atherogenesis by direct and indirect mechanisms. In physiological concentrations, insulin stimulates proliferation and migration of arterial smooth muscle cells, an action mediated by growth factors. In addition, insulin increases cholesterol synthesis and binding of LDLcholesterol to arterial smooth muscle cells. The indirect modes of action include the effects on the other risk factors for CAD such as stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system and its hypertensive property (19).

Insulin resistance has been implicated in the etiopathogenesis of hypertension for a long time (13, 20-22). More recently, it is hypothesized that insulin takes a role directly in the etiopathogenesis and progression of C A D (23). In the light of this information, it was planned to investigate the correlation between CAD and insulin resistance in-patients without hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

## Material and Methods

This prospective study was performed between June 1996 and July 1998 on the patients who were hospitalized for coronary angiography at Uludağ University, School of Medicine, Cardiology Department, Bursa, Turkey. Kani GEMİCİ cl al.

Patients and Control Subjects: All subjects in this study were referred to the cardiology clinic for coronary angiographic assessment. The study included 440 men and 164 women totaly 604 consecutive cases ranging in age from 37 -70 years.

# Inclusion Criteria

1. Normal fasting serum blood glucose levels.

2. Normal systemic arterial pressures (Arterial pressure < 140/90 mm/Hg).

## **Exclusion** Criteria

1. Diabetes mellitus (94 cases).

2. History of diabetes mellitus in the family (56 cases).

3. Hypertension (161 cases).

4. Previous CABG or PTCA (36 cases).

5. Metabolic diseases such as familial dyslipidemia, uremia, hypertiroidism, or hypotiroidism (16 cases).

6. Congenital or acquired valve disease (37 cases).

7. Patients using beta blocker or diuretics (36 cases)

8. Patients refusal to participate (43 cases).

Eligible patients, who met exclusion criteria, were given informed consent. Cardiovascular medications including calcium antagonists, nitrates, aspirin, and A C E inhibitors were not discontinued before the study. The selected subjects consisted of 90 patients (72 male, 18 female  $55.03\pm0.89$ [mean±SEM] years) with angiographically documented C A D and 43 control cases (32 male, 11 female  $51.61\ddot{U}.66$  [mean±SEM] years) with negative exercise test and normal angiography.

Left ventriculography and selective coronary angiography were performed by standard Judkins or Sones techniques. Coronary angiograms were examined digitally by two observers unaware of the study. Overall severity of CAD was assessed according to the 15 segment coding system of the AHA and modified Gensini scoring system (24, Table 1).

**OGTT (Oral Glucose Tolerance Test):** The cases were instructed to consume a carbohydrate-rich diet (>200 g carbohydrate/day) and not to

# Table 1. Gensini scoring system

| Lumen obstruction        | Score | Multiplication factor |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| <25%                     | 1     |                       |
| 26-50%                   | 2     |                       |
| 51-75%                   | 4     |                       |
| 76-90%                   | 8     |                       |
| 91-99%                   | 16    |                       |
| 100%                     | 32    |                       |
| Left Coronary Artery     |       |                       |
| Left Main                |       | 5                     |
| Left Anterior Descending |       |                       |
| Proximal segment         |       | 2.5                   |
| Mid segment              |       | 1.5                   |
| Apical segment           |       | 1                     |
| First diagonal           |       | 1                     |
| Second diagonal          |       | 0.5                   |
| Left Circumflex          |       |                       |
| Proximal segment         |       | 2.5 (3.5)*            |
| Mid segment              |       | 1(2)                  |
| Distal segment           |       | 1(2)                  |
| Obtuse marginal branch   |       | 1                     |
| Posterolateral branch    |       | 0.5                   |
| Right Coronary Artery    |       |                       |
| Proximal segment         |       | 1                     |
| Mid segment              |       | 1                     |
| Distal segment           |       | 1                     |
| Posterior descending     |       | 1                     |
|                          |       | -                     |

\*// was used in the parenthesis multiplication factors if circumflex is dominant

smoke for 3 days before the tests. Following coronary angiography, after overnight fasting, basal samples were taken at 6.00 o'clock in the morning for the biochemical parameters and baseline glucose and insulin levels. The subjects were then given a 75 g oral glucose load and blood was drawn 30, 60, 90, 120 and 180 minutes later for repeated measurements of plasma glucose and insulin concentrations. Plasma glucose was measured using a glucose analyzer. Plasma insulin and C-peptide was measured by RIA technique. OGTT and hyperinsulinemia (Basal insulin levels >40 ng/ml, peak insulin levels >160 ng/ml and 120th minute insulin levels >40 ng/ml) was assessed according to criteria of WHO (25).

The lipid subfractions, which were measured, are total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride, apo A l, apo B and Lp(a). Serum total cholesterol

THE EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPORTANCE OF INSULIN RESISTANCE IN THE ETIOPATHOGENESIS...

#### Kani GEMİCİ et al.

| Table 2. Compa | ring of demogra | phic characteristics | of the patient and | l control groups |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                |                 |                      |                    |                  |

| DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS | PATIENTS          | CONTROLS                            | p VALUES |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| AGE                         | $55.03 \pm 0.89$  | $51.62 \pm 1.66$                    | p >0.05  |
| MALE/FEMALE                 | 72/18             | 32/11                               | p >0.05  |
| HEIGHT                      | $167.65 \pm 2.34$ | 168.54 ±2.12                        | p >0.05  |
| WEIGHT                      | $79.87 \pm 9.42$  | $78.34 \pm 8.98$                    | p >0.05  |
| W/H                         | $1.01 \pm 0.01$   | $0.98 \pm 0.01$                     | p >0.05  |
| BMI                         | $26.94 \pm 0.38$  | $26.38 \pm 0.44 \\ 102.23 \pm 4.14$ | p >0.05  |
| SCINFOLDS                   | 104.53 ±2.36      |                                     | p >0.05  |

Table 3. Comparing of risk factor rates of the patient and control groups

| RISK FACTORS        | PATIENTS (%) | CONTROLS (%) | OR   | % 95 CI   | p VALUES |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|------|-----------|----------|
| SEX (MAN)           | 80           | 74           | 1.84 | 0.78-4.32 | p>0.05   |
| SMOKING             | 67           | 40           | 3.00 | 1.25-7.28 | p<0.01   |
| HYPERINSULINEM1A    | 56           | 34           | 2.40 | 1.09-5.86 | p<0.05   |
| GLUCOSE INTOLERANCE | 40           | 29           | 1.78 | 0.69-4.69 | p>0.05   |
| FAMILY HISTORY      | 58           | 34           | 2.75 | 1.13-6.73 | pO.01    |
| ALCOHOL             | 16           | 14           | 1.45 | 0.40-5.68 | p>0.05   |

OR: Odd Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval

and triglyceride was estimated by enzymatic method. HDL-cholesterol was estimated by the method based on manganese phosphotungstate precipitation procedure. LDL-cholesterol values were calculated by Friedwald formula. Apo Al, apo B were estimated by immunoturbidimetric methods and Lp(a) levels were estimated by a special kit. Age, weight and height were recorded; body mass index (BMI= weight/height<sup>2</sup>) was calculated and assessed to Bray classification (26). Waist to hip ratio (W/H) was calculated as a measure of abdominal obesity. Skinfold thicknesses were measured with Holtain calipers to the nearest millimeter at four standard sites: biceps, triceps, subscapular and suprailiac. It was expressed as skinfolds the sum of different sites values.

Statistical Analyses: Data for male-female ratio, hyperinsulinemia, glucose intolerance, smoking, alcohol consumption, and family history for CAD were assessed chi-square and Fischer's exact chi-square tests. The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM and were analyzed by SPSS standard package programs. Pharmacological, biochemical and demographic differences between the groups com-

T Klin J Med Res 2000. 18

pared with Student's t test. In the patient group the relations between coronary lesion score and insulin levels, family history, smoking, C-peptide and lipid subfractions were assessed by Pearson correlation coefficients and logistic regression analyses. Statistical significance was defined as a p value < 0.05.

### Results

The patient and control groups were compared for C A D risk factors, demographic, anthropometric and biochemical parameters. No differences between control and patient groups were observed in age, sex and anthropometric parameters (Table 2).

The correlations between risk factors and C A D including cigarette smoking, family history, hyperinsulinemia, glucose intolerance and alcohol consumption were evaluated (Table 3). C A D was significantly correlated with smoking and family history (p< 0.01, p< 0.01) respectively, but not correlated with alcohol consumption.

Anthropometric correlations between two groups were also evaluated. There were no differ-

| Table 4. Comparing        | of comm 1   | inid | aanaantrationa | oftha  | notiont on | d aantral | around |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|----------------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>Table 4.</b> Comparing | of seruin 1 | ipia | concentrations | or the | patient an |           | groups |

| LIPID SUBGROUPS   | PATIENTS          | CONTROLS           | p VALUES |     |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-----|
| TOTAL CHOLESTEROL | $246.94 \pm 4.63$ | $209.60 \pm 5.86$  | pO.0001  |     |
| LDL CHOLESTEROL   | $164.56 \pm 4.18$ | $133.09 \pm 5.54$  | pO.0001  |     |
| HDL CHOLESTEROL   | $35.89 \pm 0.73$  | $39.23 \pm 0.98$   | p<0.05   |     |
| TRIGLYCERIDE      | $226.10 \pm 8.86$ | $186.40 \pm 11.07$ | p<0.01   | ',, |
| Apo-A,            | $121.52 \pm 2.89$ | $131.86 \pm 4.85$  | p<0.05   |     |
| Apo-B             | $166.03 \pm 4.02$ | $136.60 \pm 6.12$  | p<0.001  |     |
| Lp(a)             | $33.31 \pm 2.09$  | $19.34 \pm 2.59$   | p<0.005  |     |

Table 5. Comparing of risk factors of the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups

| RISK FACTORS  | INSULIN RESISTANT | NON-INSULIN RESISTANT | <u>p VALUES</u> |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| SUM OF CORONARY<br>Lesion Severity<br>Fasting Insulin | 87                | 36                    | p<0.001         |
| LEVELS  | 56                | 29                    | p<0.05          |
| 120th MINUTE<br>INSULIN LEVELS                        | 97                | 31                    | p<0.01          |
| SUM OF INSULIN<br>LEVELS                              | 845               | 324                   | pO.001          |
| TOTAL<br>CHOLESTEROL                                  | 256.54 ± 5.14     | 219.60 ±6.45          | p<0.05          |
| HDL<br>CHOLESTEROL                                    | $29.84\pm0.45$    | $35.23 \pm 0.76$      | p<0.01          |

ences in the body mass index, the waist-to-hip ratio and skinfolds (Table 2) between two groups. Plasma total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL-cholesterol, apo A l, apo B, and Lp(a) levels were significantly higher and HDL-cholesterol was significantly lower in the patient group (Table 4). There was a statistically significant difference between two groups in terms of hyperinsulinemia (p< 0.05) but no difference in glucose intolerance.

In other biochemical parameters including hemoglobin, hematocrit, leucocyte, platelet, urea, creatinine, uric acid, Na, K, CI levels, no significant differences were found between two groups.

The patient group was separated into 2 subgroups as insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant according to WHO criteria. Coronary lesion severity was assessed by using Gensini scoring system. The correlations between coronary lesion severity and risk factors (family history, smoking and hyperinsulinemia), anthropometric (body mass index, waist-hip ratio and skinfolds) and biochemical parameters (C-peptide and serum lipid concentrations) were investigated with univariate and multivariate analysis. In the insulin resistant group there was a positive correlation between coronary lesion severity with fasting, 120th minute and also sum of insulin levels during OGTT (p<0.05, pO.O1 and pO.001, respectively). A positive correlation was also found between coronary lesion severity and total cholesterol (p<0.05) and negative correlation with serum HDL-cholesterol levels (p<0.01, Table 5). There were no correlations between coronary lesion score and anthropometric parameters, LDL-cholesterol, triglyceride, apo A1, apo B and Lp(a) levels.

# Discussion

There are several factors that may affect insulin resistance (27-30). In this study, these factors were considered and evaluated as part of patient selection. Patients with diabetes mellitus, family history of diabetes mellitus, and hypertension were excluded from the study. The strength and confidence of the study lies in the similarity of age between the patient and control groups, the fact that there was no case over age of 70 and lower than 37 in either group, and the lack of statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of age and glucose intolerance.

In this study, the importance of family history, smoking, hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia, which are known as risk factors of coronary artery disease were shown, once more. Since hyperinsulinemia was statistically different between the patient and the control groups, it may have an important role in the etiopathogenesis of the coronary artery disease.

In our study, the patient and control groups were compared for anthropometric parameters and there were no statistical differences in body-mass index, waist-hip ratio and subcutaneous tissue thickness. This finding might implicate that insulin resistance is effective as an independent factor.

It was also shown that there were positive correlations between presence of CAD and total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and a negative correlation with HDL cholesterol with prospective studies (31-33). In the present study, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, apo B and Lp(a) levels were found significantly higher and HDLcholesterol and apo Al levels significantly lower in the patient group. Recent studies have shown that there is a correlation between the severity of CAD and apolipoproteins and Lp(a) (34). In some papers, it is stated that apo B levels are more reliable than LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol to evaluate the severity of coronary artery lesions (16, 35). Krishnaswami et al. (36) showed a weak positive correlation between severity of CAD and total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol by using Gensini scoring system. In the present study, we found a positive correlation between coronary artery lesion score and the level of total cholesterol and a negative correlation with the level of HDL-cholesterol. No correlation was found among LDL-cholesterol, triglyceride, apo A l, apo B and Lp(a) levels with coronaiy artery lesion severity.

We also investigated whether there was a cor-

relation between severity of CAD and insulin levels. When the patients were separated according to insulin levels, coronary lesion scores were higher in the insulin resistant group. This relationship appeared to be significant for fasting, 120th minute and the sum of insulin levels obtained during OGTT in those subgroup patients. Zamboni et al. (16) showed a positive correlation between the severity of coronary artery lesion scores and visceral fat tissue, triglyceride, apo B and sum of the insulin levels during OGTT. The same correlation was also shown by Negri et al. (17). It was also shown that there was a positive correlation between the severity of coronary artery lesion scores, and fasting and the sum of insulin levels during OGTT in the subgroup patients. Spallarossa et al. (15) indicated a positive correlation between the severity of CAD and fasting and the second hour insulin levels during OGTT. These results support our findings. Fujiwara et al. (18) showed that basal insulin levels were not significantly different between the patient and the control group, but the sum of insulin levels during OGTT were significantly higher in the patient group. In that study, the number of cases was pretty low when only those with normal OGTT were evaluated. The superiority of our study is exclusion of the hypertensives and diabetics, and the absence of significant difference between the patient and the control groups in terms of glucose intolerance. In a recent study (37) was implicated that the patients with documented CAD are insulin resistant independently of obesity. This result was also affirmed using by hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp technique in the patients with CAD (38). In the present study also shown that insulin resistance is a risk factor for severity of CAD independently of anthropometric parameters.

It is proposed that one of the mechanisms to accelerate the atherogenesis in hyperinsulinemia is a direct effect of insulin on the cells that synthesize plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (39). It is also argued that insulin can stimulate the synthesis and release of endothelin, which is a great vasoconstrictor and mitogen for vascular smooth muscle cells (5,40,41). It was also demonstrated that hyperinsulinemia significantly potentiates the mitogenic properties of platelet-derived growth factor (42). These points need to be investigated in the future with prospective studies. Kani GEMİCİ et al.

In conclusion, insulin resistance may be an important risk factor both at the onset and the progression of atherosclerosis in patients with CAD. The role of hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance on the etiopathogenesis and acceleration of CAD needs to be further investigated.

## REFERENCES

- Ross R. Factors Influencing Atherogenesis, In: Schlant RC, Alexander RW, eds. The Heart. McGraw-Hill, NY, 8th ed. 1994: 989-1008.
- Farmer JA, Gotto AM. Risk factors for coronary artery disease. In: Braunwald E, ed. Heart Disease. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company, 4th ed, 1992: 1125-60.
- Tornvall P, Bavenholm P, Landou C, Faire U, Hamsten A. Relation of plasma levels and composition of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins to angiographically defined coronary artery disease in young patients with myocardial infarction. Circulation 1993; 88: 2180-89.
- Reaven GM, Chen YDI. Insulin resistance, its consequences, and coronary heart disease. Circulation 1996; 93: 1780-83.
- Ley C J, Swan J, Godsland I F, et al. Insulin resistance, lipoproteins, body fat and homeostasis in non-obese men with angina and a normal or abnormal coronary angiogram. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994; 23: 377-83.
- Shinozaki K, Suzuki M, Ikebuchi M, Hara Y, Harano Y. Demonstration of insulin resistance in coronary artery disease documented with angiography. Diabetes Care 1996; 19: 1-7.
- Zavaroni I, Reaven G. Insulin resistance and associated risk factors for coronary heart disease as seen in families. Diabetes Metab 1991; 17: 109-11.
- Flack JM, Sowers JM. Epidemiological and clinical aspects of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia. Am J Med 1991; 91: 1A-20S.
- Mykkanen L, Laakso M, Pyorala K. High plasma insulin level associated with coronary heart disease in the elderly. Am J Epidemiol 1993; 137: 1190-1202.
- Dhawan J, Bray CL. Relationship between angiographically assessed coronary artery disease, plasma insulin levels and lipids in Asians and Caucasians. Atherosclerosis 1994; 105: 35-41.
- Pyorola K. Hyperinsulinemia as predictor of atherosclerotic vascular disease: epidemiological evidence. Diabetes Metab 1991; 17: 87-92.
- 12.Stout RW, Vallance-Owen J. Insulin and atheroma. Lancet 1969; I: 1078-80.
- Kaplan N M. The deadly quarted: upper body obesity, glucose intolerance, hypertriglyceridemia and hypertension. Arch Int Med 1990; 149: 1514-20.
- <u>14</u>. <u>De</u> Fronzo RA. Insulin resistance and atherosclerosis. Diabetes Care 1991; 14: 173-94.

- 15.Spallarossa P, Cordera R, Andraghetti G, et al: Association between plasma insulin and angiographically documented significant coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 1994; 74: 177-79.
- Zamboni M, Armellini F, Sheiban I et al. Relation of body fat distribution in men and degree of coronary narrowings in coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 1992; 70: 1135-38.
- 17. Negri M, Sheiban I, Arigliano PL, et al. Interrelation between angiographic severity of coronary artery disease and plasma levels of insulin, C-peptide and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. Am J Cardiol 1993; 72: 397-401.
- 18. Fujiwara R, Kutsumi Y, Hayashi T, et al. Relation of angiographically defined coronary artery disease and plasma concentrations of insulin, lipid, and apolipoprotein in normolipidemic subjects with varying degrees of glucose tolerance. Am J Cardiol 1995; 75: 122-6.
- 19.Stout R W: Insulin and atheroma. 20-year perspective. Diabetes Care 1990; 13: 631-54.
- Ferrannini E, Buzzigoli G, Bonadonna R, et al. Insulin resistance in essential hypertension. N Eng J Med 1987; 317: 350-7.
- Reaven GM, Alto P. Insulin resistance and compensatory hyperinsulinemia: Role in hypertension, dyslipidemia and coronary heart disease. Am Heart J 1991; 121: 1283-88.
- 22. Ferrari P: Abnormalities of insulin and lipid metabolism in hypertensive rats. Am J Hypertens 1991; 4: 773-8.
- Howard G, O'Leary DH, Zaccaro D, et al. Insulin sensitivity and atherosclerosis. Circulation 1996; 93: 1809-17.
- 24. Gensini GG. A more meaningful scoring system for determination the severity of coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 1983; 51: 606-7.
- World Health Organisation. Diabetes Mellitus. Geneva, Switzerland; World Health Organisation; 1985. Technical Report Series No. 727: 10-2.
- Bray GA. Overweight is risking fate, definition, classification, prevalence and risks. Ann NY Acad Sci 1987; 499: 14-28.
- Haffner SM. The insulin resistance syndrome revisited. Diabetes Care 1996; 19: 275-7.
- 28. Pyorola K, Savolainen E, Kaukola S, Haapakoski J: Plasma insulin as coronary heart disease risk factor: relationship to other risk factors and predictive value during 9 year followup of the Helsinki Policeman Study population. Acta Med Scand 1985; 701: 38-52.
- 29. Laws A, Reaven G M. Insulin resistance and risk factors for coronary heart disease. B Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 1993; 7: 1063-73.
- Fachini FS, Hollenbeck CB, Jeppesen J, Chen YDI, Reaven GM. Insulin resistance and cigarette smoking. Lancet 1992; 339: 1128-30.
- 31. Laws A, Reaven G M. Evidence for an independent relationship between insulin resistance and fasting plasma HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride and insulin concentrations. J Int Med 1992; 231: 25-30.

#### THE EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPORTANCE OF INSULIN RESISTANCE IN THE ETIOPATHOGENESIS...

#### Kani GEMicFet al.

- 32. Knight TM, Smith Z, Whittless A, et al. Insulin resistance, diabetes, and risk markers for ischemic heart disease in Asian men and non-Asian men in Bradford. Br Heart J 1992; 67: 343-50.
- 33. Facchini F, Hollenbeck CB, Chen YN, Chen YDI, Reaven GM. Demonstration of a relationship between white blood cell count, insulin resistance, and several risk factors for coronary heart disease in women. J Int Med 1992; 232: 267-72.
- Hamsten A. Apolipoproteins, dyslipoproteinemia and premature coronary heart disease. Acta Med Scand 1988; 223: 389-403.
- Reardon MF, Nestel PJ, Craig IH, Harper RW. Lipoprotein predictors of the severity of coronary artery disease in men and women. Circulation 1985; 71: 881-8.
- Krishnaswami S, Jose VJ, Joseph G. Lack of correlation between coronary risk factors and CAD severity. Int J Cardiol 1994; 47:37-43.

- Psyrogiannis AJ, Alexopoulos DK, Kyriazopoulou VE, Vagenakis AG. Insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia and hypertriglyceridemia in-patients with coronary artery disease independent of obesity. Angiology 1998; 49 (8): 607-12.
- Paternostro G, Camici PG, Lammerstma AA, et al. Cardiac and skeletal muscle insulin resistance in-patients with coronary heart disease. J Clin Invest 1996; 98: 2094-99.
- Hsueh WA, Raw LE. Cardiovascular risk continium: Implications of insulin resistance and diabetes. Am J Med 1998; 105(1A)4-14.
- Reaven G M, Laws A. Insulin resistance, compensatory hyperinsulinemia, and coronary heart disease. Diabetologia 1994; 37: 948-52.
- 41. Bavenholm P, Proudler A, Toravall P, et al. Insulin, intact and split proinsulin, and coronary artery disease in young men. Circulation 1995; 92: 1422-29.
- Goalstone ML, Natarajan R, Standley PR, et al. Insulin potentiates platelet-derived growth factor action in vascular smooth muscle cells. Endocrinology 1998; 139: 4067-72.